TOBA 0720

29 March 1962

MEMORANIAM FOR: Special Projects Branch

SUBJECT : Comments on Proposed Crew Control Procedures

1. A generalized summary of the objectives of the proposed crow control procedures might properly be that effective crow control methods are vital to the successful implementation and completion of mission and that the methods used should be made a matter of record to assume both the integrity of the program and agreement on the principles to be followed. With this in mind the manner in which the program is introduced is important.

2. It is not known whether it is entended to use the proposed crew control procedures for specific briefings to each 25X1A 25X1A In either event, it or as a progress guide for is suggested that the preventative value of the program may be seriously impaired should wrong inferences be drawn from the highlighted grounding sanctions applicable to obesity. It may well be that obesity is presently a problem which is difficult to correct and deserves to be singled out. However, depending on how it is controlled, it could be cause for concern in both the (a) administration of the eontract and (b) in 25X1A achieving the actual objectives set forth for the entire program.

> 3. Contract. Grounding action would call for invoking "general duty status" provision of the contract. At a minimum this means a \$750 monthly drop in pay. Since obesity by itself does not constitute "loss of personnel proficiency", the contract basis for grounding would have to be because "it is in the best interest of the contemplated activities." What is in the best interest of the contemplated activities" is not defined but rather is left to the discretion of the Agency. The luminoled The necessity of stating specifically, if one could, what action or inaction either within or beyond the control of the individual could lead to such grounding. Yet, when one reads the language of the crew control procedures the specifics are drawn so tightly that one gets the impression that an arbitrary line has been drawn and should enyone step over it he will be automatically grounded. However, as the section on overweight is presently written, grounding is not mandatory but only permissive. Therefore, the specific arbitrary weight limits

- 2 -

Copy & of 4

25X1A 25X1A	and the time table for weight reductions are not really controlling. The controlling factor thus must be to whether overweight in a particular case would, in the opinion of the flight surgeon, impair the ability to perform missions, and even if this is so a voluntary weight reduction program would be suggested and if not followed, then either threat of or actual grounding would follow. If these observations are correct, then it is recommended that the not be briefed specifically on the obesity provisions and that each case be handled on its individual merits as we have every right to do under the contract.
i.	important consideration in determining whether the should be alerted to the obesity canctions unless and until actually necessary is that the entire crew control program is preventative in nature. It runs the whole gament of an individual's physiological and psychological life, some aspects of which, particularly in the psychological area, can best be seen through
25X1A	"unpublished" standards in these areas would also be proper grounds for grounding. Thus, should the whole error control procedures be thought of by as one strongly supported 25X1A by published sanctions, they could defeat the program by giving the "correct" answers which they feel we want to hear. With the small support of involved and the fact that we have as extremely high operational talent at to whom these problems 25X1A are not unique as well as an extremely competent flight surgeon, it would seem that the preferable course of action would be to use the procedures as a guide only for the detachment and that we not publish material from which inferences of arbitruriness can be drawn.
25X1A	5. The suggestion that annual physicals be staggered 25X1A and not run in mass is a very good idea and would be satisfactory to Rowever. if we desire to maintain the security we have followed with heretofore, then it is suggested that
25X1A	25X1A  and the Agency through Ecadquarters and directly between the flight surgeon and
	6. In paragraph IV, it appears that what is being defined is the number of the elapsed hours in the air after which a decidedly different physiological and psychological stress will be encountered. Probably there is disagreement when such extrestress will be encountered. Therefore, the best course is to

## Approved For Release 2003/01/24 : CIA-RDP63-00313A000600100129-3

- 3 -

Copy 4 of 4

o with the best professional opinion and arbitrarily back those inits. This is especially important when the Commander and/or is flight surgeon will be held responsible for implementing 25X1A he program. For what it is worth several have stated hat there is no distinction between a 9 and a 11 hour mission. The this is so or not, it appears that a line must be drawn and it has not been drawn by statements such as "between 10 and 2 hours or lesser duration - the entire crew control procedure ay be affected" and in "missions of 10 to 12 hours or more - hey will be affected."
25X1A
Personnel Officer DPD-DD/P
Pers/DPD; LIM; ja

Distribution:

Copy 1 - SPB/DFD

25X1A